arXiv:1106.1317v1 [math-ph] 7 Jun 2011

GENERALIZED q-ONSAGER ALGEBRAS AND DYNAMICAL K-MATRICES

S. BELLIARD AND V. FOMIN

Abstract. A procedure to construct K-matrices from the generalized q-Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(\widehat{g})$ is proposed. This procedure extends the intertwiner techniques used to obtain scalar (c-number) solutions of the reflection equation to dynamical (non-c-number) solutions. It shows the relation between soliton non-preserving reflection equations or twisted reflection equations and the generalized q-Onsager algebras. These dynamical K-matrices are important to quantum integrable models with extra degrees of freedom located at the boundaries: for instance, in the quantum affine Toda field theories on the half-line they yield the boundary amplitudes. As examples, the cases of $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(1)})$ are treated in details.

Keywords: generalized q-Onsager algebra; reflection algebras; intertwiner equation; Zhedanov algebra; dynamical boundary conditions.

1. Introduction

Mathematical structure behind the Yang-Baxter equation (YBE), namely quantum groups, gives a simple way to obtain the R-matrix as a solution of the intertwiner equation. For instance, the quantum affine algebras $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$, formulated by Drinfel'd and Jimbo [D1, J1], allow to construct trigonometric R-matrices [J], solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. These algebras admit different formulations: 1) q-Serre-Chevalley formulation[1] [D1, J1]; 2) FRT formulation [FaddReshT, RS] which appeared in the context of the Quantum Inverse Scattering Method (QISM) for quantum integrable systems; 3) Drinfel'd second realization [D2]. Each of these formulations has shown its interest in different physical and mathematical problems : the q-Serre-Chevalley formulation of quantum algebra leads to the construction of the R-matrices [J] and its realization in terms of field operators corresponds to non-local conserved currents of the affine Toda field theories (ATFT) [BL]; the FRT formulation gives a simple construction of abelian conserved quantities of bulk integrable models and in many cases it allows the diagonalization of the transfer matrix using the so-called algebraic Bethe ansatz technique [STF]; the Drinfel'd second realization leads to infinite dimensional representations of the algebra [FrenJin], the calculation of correlation functions using vertex operators techniques [JMMNDF] or the calculation of scalar products of Bethe vectors [BPR].

In the case of quantum integrable models with boundaries, the reflection equations that ensure the integrability of these models are known since seminal works of Cherednik and Sklyanin [Cher, Skly]. The related algebraic structures are given by coideal subalgebras of the quantum algebras. These subalgebras are called reflection algebras and the commutation relations are encoded by the reflection equations [MRS]. The definition of the reflection algebras can be constructed from the FRT formulation of the quantum algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$ using two different automorphisms. The first one is related to the inverse the monodromy matrix and we call it the reflection algebra (RA). The second one is related to the transposition of the monodromy matrix and we call it the Twisted reflection algebra (TRA). In both cases the algebras are given in a FRT type formulation and the question of a corresponding q-Serre-Chevalley or Drinfel'd second realization type formulation is mostly open. For the finite Lie algebra g the q-Serre-Chevalley type formulation of the reflection equation is known and appears in the context of the quantum symmetric pairs and related q-orthogonal polynomials [NS, Letz]. For special choices of g it corresponds to special cases of the Zhedanov or Askey-Wilson

[1] in the limit $q \to 1$ one recovers the Serre-Chevalley formulation of the affine Lie algebras.

algebra [Zhed] related to the Askey-Wilson polynomials. Moreover, in the case $\mathcal{U}_q(a_1^{(1)})$ an isomorphism of coideal subalgebra has been shown between the reflection algebra and the q-Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(a_1^{(1)})$ (which correspond to a q-Serre-Chevalley formulation of the reflection equation) [BS, BB2].

In a recent work [BB1], the generalized q-Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(\widehat{g})$ was introduced as a coideal subalgebra of the quantum algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$. Similarly to the calculation of the R-matrices using the q-Serre-Chevalley formulation of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$ and the intertwiner equation [J1], the generalized q-Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(\widehat{g})$ allows the calculation of the K-matrices, solutions of the twisted reflection equation. These $\mathcal{O}_q(\widehat{g})$ algebras emerge as the closure relations of the non-local charges of the ATFT on the half-line with soliton non-preserving boundary conditions[2]. Note that these non-local charges have been previously constructed from the $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$ generators and were sufficient to construct scalar (c-number) boundary scattering amplitudes from the intertwiner equation [MN, DM, DG] although their closure relations were unknown. However, the identification of the $\mathcal{O}_q(\widehat{g})$ algebra as a non-abelian symmetry of the ATFT on the half-line allows to proceed further: it gives the complete description of the admissible soliton non-preserving boundary conditions: 1) scalar (c-number) ones, which reproduce the known results [CorDRS] and 2) dynamical (non-c-number) ones [BB1]. As a consequence, the knowledge of $\mathcal{O}_q(\widehat{g})$ allows to extend the intertwiner technique to dynamical (non-c-number) K-matrices in a systematic way.

From a physical point of view, the dynamical boundary conditions correspond to quantum integrable models with degrees of freedom at the boundary. Some examples are given by conformal models perturbed by a dynamical boundary as the massless boundary Sine-Gordon model related to the quantum impurity problem [LesSalCSia], or the generalisation to massless boundary ATFT $a_2^{(1)}$ [BazHK]. Extensions to the massive boundary Sine-Gordon model have also been considered [BassLeC, BD, BK]. For one of them [BK], a dynamical K-matrix has been obtained using intertwiner technique. Among the properties of this model, it is important to notice that for real coupling the boundary amplitudes are self-dual [BK], as for the bulk case [BCorDS], contrary to the case with scalar boundary conditions [G, Cor]. There are also examples of dynamical boundary conditions in quantum integrable spin chains, where the dynamical K-matrices are obtained as 'dressing' of scalar (c-number) boundary matrices [ZGLG1, ZGLG2, FraSlav].

In this paper we present dynamical (non-c-number) K-matrices obtained using the intertwiner equation associated with the generalized q-Onsager algebras for two cases : $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(1)})$. These two examples show an explicit connection between the generalized q-Onsager algebras and the reflection algebras. In Section 2 we recall some basics about the quantum algebras, reflection algebras, intertwiner equations and explain the construction of the dynamical K-matrices. In Section 3 we give the K-matrices for $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(1)})$ realized in terms of the Zhedanov algebra [Zhed]. The mapping to scalar (c-number) matrices is described in Section 4 recovering known results. Extensions to other affine Lie algebras, applications in physics and further investigations are discussed in Section 5.

2. Affine quantum algebras, reflection equations and generalized q-Onsager algebras

In this section we briefly recall basic notions on the affine quantum algebras and their coideals. We emphasize the similarities between the FRT formalism (RLL relation) and intertwiner equation (quasi-triangular Hopf structure) for quantum algebras [CP] and the reflection equation and intertwiner equation for coideals of quantum algebras [MN, DM, N].

We point out that generalized q-Onsager algebras are realized as coideal subalgebras of quantum algebras and the knowledge of these algebras both with the intertwiner equation provides a simple method for

[2]corresponding to the Twisted reflection equation.

the derivation of solutions of the reflection equation. In the end of the section we give a strategy of the construction of dynamical (non-c-number or operator-valued) K-matrices.

2.1. **Hopf algebra and the Yang-Baxter equation.** In mathematics, the affine quantum algebras $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$ are quasi-triangular Hopf algebras (see [CP] for details). Let us consider the coproduct map $\Delta : \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g}) \to \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g}) \otimes \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$ and the universal R-matrix $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g}) \otimes \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$. The universal R-matrix is an invertible element such that:

$$\mathcal{R}\,\Delta(x) = \sigma \circ \Delta(x)\,\mathcal{R}, \tag{2.1}$$

with $x \in \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$ and σ the permutation map defined by $\sigma(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$. As a consequence of the quasi-triangular properties, the universal R-matrix is a solution of the universal Yang-Baxter equation:

$$\mathcal{R}_{12}\mathcal{R}_{13}\mathcal{R}_{23} = \mathcal{R}_{23}\mathcal{R}_{13}\mathcal{R}_{12}. \tag{2.2}$$

Using a finite dimensional representation $\pi_u : \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g}) \to End(V)$, with V a finite dimensional vector space, one maps the universal object to a matrix. It follows that the R-matrix satisfies the intertwiner equation:

IE
$$R_{12}(u/v)(\pi_u \otimes \pi_v)[\Delta(x)] = (\pi_u \otimes \pi_v)[\sigma \circ \Delta(x)]R_{12}(u/v), \quad x \in \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g}) \tag{2.3}$$

and the Yang-Baxter equation:

YB
$$R_{12}(u/v)R_{13}(u)R_{23}(v) = R_{23}(v)R_{13}(u)R_{12}(u/v). \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$R_{12}(u/v) = (\pi_u \otimes \pi_v)\mathcal{R}_{12}. \tag{2.5}$$

For formal parameters u and v, the tensor product of representations $\pi_u \otimes \pi_v$ is an irreducible representation which implies that the solution of (2.3) is unique up to a scalar function and also a solution of (2.4) [J]. These properties allow the construction of the scalar R-matrices solution of the Yang-Baxter equation from the representation theory and the coproduct of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$.

If one evaluates only the first space of the universal R-matrix with π_u , one obtains the affine monodromy matrix $L(u)$ [3]:

$$L_1(u) = (\pi_u \otimes 1)\mathcal{R}_{12}. \tag{2.6}$$

Evaluating the spaces 1 and 2 of the universal Yang-Baxter equation one obtains the FRT formulation of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$:

$$R_{12}(u/v)L_1(u)L_2(v) = L_2(v)L_1(u)R_{12}(u/v). \tag{2.7}$$

When $\widehat{g} = a_n^{(1)}$ an evaluation homomorphism $\Pi : \mathcal{U}_q(a_n^{(1)}) \to \mathcal{U}_q(gl_{n+1})$ has been constructed [J1] and the corresponding finite monodromy matrix obtained from the intertwiner equation:

intPiL
$$L^{\Pi}(u)(\pi_u \otimes \Pi)\Delta(x) = (\pi_u \otimes \Pi)(\sigma \circ \Delta(x))L^{\Pi}(u). \tag{2.8}$$

The aim of this paper is to provide the construction of a similar object in the case of the reflection algebras.

[3] For simplicity we consider only one family of monodromy matrices, a complete description of the algebra needs two families, see [RS] for details.

2.2. **Reflection algebras and coideal subalgebras.** The reflection equations are representations of the reflection algebras which can be realized as coideal subalgebras of the quantum affine algebras $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$. Construction of these reflection algebras is related to automorphisms of the FRT algebra [Skly, MRS]. There are two automorphisms of the FRT algebra leading to two different reflection algebras:

- The first family, the so-called reflection algebra (RA), noted $\mathcal{B}(\widehat{g})$, is generated by $\mathcal{K}(u) \in End(V) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\widehat{g})[[u, u^{-1}]]$ with the commutation relation:

RA
$$R_{12}(u/v)\mathcal{K}_1(u)R_{21}(uv)\mathcal{K}_2(v) = \mathcal{K}_2(v)R_{12}(uv)\mathcal{K}_1(u)R_{21}(u/v). \tag{2.9}$$

The coideal properties follow from the existence of a coaction map $\delta : \mathcal{B}(\widehat{g}) \to \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\widehat{g})$ given by :

$$\delta(\mathcal{K}(u)) = L(u)\mathcal{K}(u)L(u^{-1})^{-1} \tag{2.10}$$

and a counit $\epsilon : \mathcal{B}(\widehat{g}) \to \mathbb{C}$ given by:

$$\epsilon(\mathcal{K}(u)) = K(u) \tag{2.11}$$

where the matrix $K(u)$ is a scalar solution of (2.9). These coideal properties imply that the reflection algebra can be constructed as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$ from the homomorphism $(1 \otimes \epsilon) \circ \delta \equiv \psi : \mathcal{B}(\widehat{g}) \to \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$:

dressRA
$$\psi(\mathcal{K}(u)) = L(u)K(u)L(u^{-1})^{-1}. \tag{2.12}$$

The map $w_1 : L(u) \to L^{-1}(u^{-1})$ is an automorphism of the FRT algebra.

Although a clear algebraic framework for these coideal subalgebras and an 'universal' K-matrix is still an open question, at the repesentation level an intertwiner equation can be also defined for the K-matrix [MN, DM, N]. Assuming that a q-Serre-Chevalley formulation of $\mathcal{B}(\widehat{g})$ is known we can define the equation :

intRA
$$K(u)(\pi_u \otimes \Pi)\delta(y) = (\pi_{u^{-1}} \otimes \Pi)\delta(y)K(u), \quad y \in \mathcal{B}(\widehat{g}) \tag{2.13}$$

with Π an evaluation homomorphism to a finite algebra. Using commuting diagrams technique [DM], one can show that the solution of this equation will satisfy the equation (2.9).

- The second family, the so-called twisted reflection algebra (TRA), noted $\mathcal{B}^*(\widehat{g})$, is generated by $\mathcal{K}^*(u) \in End(V) \otimes \mathcal{B}^*(\widehat{g})[[u, u^{-1}]]$ with the commutation relation:

TRA
$$R_{12}(u/v)\mathcal{K}_1^*(u)R_{12}^{t_1}(1/uv)\mathcal{K}_2^*(v) = \mathcal{K}_2^*(v)R_{12}^{t_1}(1/uv)\mathcal{K}_1^*(u)R_{12}(u/v) \tag{2.14}$$

The coideal properties follow from the existence of a coaction map $\delta : \mathcal{B}^*(\widehat{g}) \to \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g}) \otimes \mathcal{B}^*(\widehat{g})$ given by :

$$\delta(\mathcal{K}^*(u)) = L(u)\mathcal{K}^*(u)L(u^{-1})^t \tag{2.15}$$

and a counit $\epsilon : \mathcal{B}(\widehat{g}) \to \mathbb{C}$ given by:

$$\epsilon(\mathcal{K}^*(u)) = K^*(u) \tag{2.16}$$

where the matrix $K^*(u)$ is a scalar solution of (2.14). These coideal properties imply that the reflection algebra can be constructed as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$ from the homomorphism $(1 \otimes \epsilon) \circ \delta = \psi : \mathcal{B}^*(\widehat{g}) \to \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$:

dressTRA
$$\psi(\mathcal{K}^*(u)) = L(u)K^*(u)L(u^{-1})^t. \tag{2.17}$$

The map $w_2 : L(u) \to L(u^{-1})^t$ is an automorphism of the FRT algebra.

As for the RA case, assuming that a q-Serre-Chevalley formulation of $\mathcal{B}^*(\widehat{g})$ is known, we can define the intertwiner equation :

intTRA
$$K^*(u)(\pi_u \otimes \Pi)\delta(y) = (\bar{\pi}_{u^{-1}} \otimes \Pi)\delta(y)K^*(u), \quad y \in \mathcal{B}^*(\widehat{g}) \tag{2.18}$$

with Π an evaluation homomorphism to a finite algebra and $\bar{\pi}$ a finite dimensional conjugate representation of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$ of the same dimension as π. Using commuting diagrams [DM], one can show that the solution of this equation will satisfy the equation (2.14).

Let us mention that if the R-matrix of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$ satisfies the crossing symmetry relation:

CSG
$$R_{12}(u) = M_2 R_{12}^{t_1}(u^{-1}a)M_2 \quad \text{with} \quad M^2 = \mathbb{I} \quad \text{and} \quad M_1 M_2 R_{12}(u) = R_{21}(u)M_1 M_2. \tag{2.19}$$

then two families of reflection algebra are equivalent and

RAtoTRA (2.20) $$\mathcal{K}^*(u) = \mathcal{K}(u\sqrt{a})M.$$

2.3. **The generalized q-Onsager algebra.** To solve the intertwiner equations (2.13) or (2.18) one has to identify the q-Serre-Chevalley type formulation of the reflection algebras $\mathcal{B}(\widehat{g})$ or $\mathcal{B}^*(\widehat{g})$ respectively.

The generators made of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$:

genOq (2.21) $$a_i = c_i e_i q_i^{h_i/2} + \bar{c}_i f_i q_i^{h_i/2} + w_i q_i^{h_i}, \quad \{c_i, \bar{c}_i, w_i\} \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, rank(g)$$

correspond to non-local conserved charges of the ATFT with non-preserving boundary conditions (parametrized by w_i) where $c_i, \bar{c}_i$ depend of the coupling constant of the theory [MN, DM]. These charges close on the generalized q-Onsager algebras $\mathcal{O}_q(\widehat{g})$ upon certain restrictions on w_i, see bellow and [BB1]. These algebras are generated by $\{A_i\}$ with $i = 0, 1, \ldots, rank(g)$ (see [BB1] for commutation relations) and have coideal properties given by the coaction:

(2.22) $$\delta(A_i) = (c_i e_i q_i^{h_i/2} + \bar{c}_i f_i q_i^{h_i/2}) \otimes 1 + q_i^{h_i} \otimes A_i.$$

and the counit:

(2.23) $$\epsilon(A_i) = w_i.$$

These coideal properties are necessary conditions for a q-Serre-Chevalley type formulation of the reflection algebras $\mathcal{B}(\widehat{g})$ or $\mathcal{B}^*(\widehat{g})$ and appear in the definition of the intertwiner equations (2.13,2.18). Moreover, the coideal properties δ, ϵ fix uniquely the homomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{O}_q(\widehat{g}) \to \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$ from the relation $(1 \otimes \epsilon) \circ \delta = \psi$ which implies that $\psi(A_i) = a_i$.

In the case of $\widehat{g} = a_1^{(1)}$ or $\widehat{g} = d_n^{(1)}$ these generators (2.21) give, from intertwiner equation, the scalar solutions for the RA (2.13) and in the case $\widehat{g} = a_n^{(1)}, n > 1$, the scalar solutions for the TRA (2.18) [DM, DG]. For the two first cases, the R-matrices satisfy the crossing symmetry (2.19) and the solution of (2.13) can be mapped on solution of (2.18) using (2.20). For the last case, the intertwiner equation (2.13) with generators (2.21) does not have non-trivial solutions. In view of these results we can assume that the generalized q-Onsager algebras $\mathcal{O}_q(\widehat{g})$ correspond to a q-Serre-Chevalley type formulation of the Twisted reflection algebras. For the case of $a_1^{(1)}$ the dynamical K-matrices have been obtained in terms of the q-Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(a_1^{(1)})$ [BS, BB2] and in terms of the Zhedanov or Askey-Wilson algebra[4] $\mathcal{AW}$ [BK].

In this paper, we consider dynamical K-matrices with entries in a finite algebra that gives a realisation of $\mathcal{O}_q(\widehat{g})$. The procedure to obtain these dynamical K-matrices, solutions of the reflection equation, is the following:

1) Identify from the definition of the q-Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(\widehat{g})$ its realisation by a finite algebra[5]. In the cases considered in this paper the corresponding finite algebra is given by special cases of the Zhedanov or Askey-Wilson algebra [Zhed].
2) Construct an irreducible basis of monomials of this algebra and define a 'minimal' solution of the K-matrix in terms of elements of the irreducible basis. The irreducibility of the basis ensures that the solution is unique up to a scalar factor.
3) Solve the intertwiner equation (2.18) or (2.13) using the commutation relations of the finite algebra.

[4]The Zhedanov or Askey-Wilson algebra gives a realisation by a finite algebra of $\mathcal{O}_q(a_1^{(1)})$.

[5] In most cases the finite algebra is given by a finite generalized q-Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(g)$ isomorphic to a coideal subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}_q(g)$ [Letz], see also **Remark 1**.

3. Dynamical K-matrices for $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(1)})$

In the present section we give dynamical (non-c-number or operator valued) K-matrices solutions of the intertwiner equations (2.13,2.18). We consider the cases corresponding to the generalised q-Onsager algebras $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(1)})$. At the first step, we introduce the Zhedanov or Askey-Wilson algebra and give a general ansatz for the K-matrix in terms of generators of this algebra. At the second step, we consider case by case the generalised q-Onsager algebras $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(1)})$. We recall their definitions (infinite algebra) [BB1], we give an evaluation homomorphism to the Zhedanov algebra (finite algebra) and, finally, we present the solution of the intertwiner equation - dynamical K-matrix.

The Zhedanov algebra or Askey-Wilson algebra $\mathcal{AW}(a,b,c,d,\bar{c},\bar{d},q)$ is given by:

Definition 3.1. [Zhed] *The Zhedanov algebra or Askey-Wilson algebra $\mathcal{AW}(a,b,c,d,\bar{c},\bar{d},q)$ is an associative algebra generated by the elements $\{W_0,W_1,W_2\}$ and scalars $\{a,b,c,d,\bar{c},\bar{d},q\}\in\mathbb{C}$ with q (not root of unity), subject to the relations*[6]*:*

$$[W_0,W_1]_q = a\,W_2, \tag{3.1}$$

$$[W_1,W_2]_q = b\,W_1 + c\,W_0 + d, \tag{3.2}$$

$$[W_2,W_0]_q = b\,W_0 + \bar{c}\,W_1 + \bar{d}, \tag{3.3}$$

(3.4)

The algebra is spanned by the monomials :

monob2 $$W_0^{i_0}W_1^{i_1}W_2^{i_2} \tag{3.5}$$

and has the Casimir :

casb2
$$\begin{aligned} Q &= cq^2\,W_0^2 + \bar{c}q^{-2}\,W_1^2 + aq^2\,W_2^2 + b(W_0W_1+W_1W_0) \\ &\quad -q(q^2-q^{-2})W_0\,W_1\,W_2 + dq(q+q^{-1})\,W_0 + \bar{d}q^{-1}(q+q^{-1})W_1 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

rm-f0q **Remark 1.** *For the generalized q-Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(\widehat{g})$ a realization in terms of coideal subalgebra of the quantum algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(g)$, with g a simple Lie algebra, can be found. These coideal subalgebras have been classified by Letzter* [Letz]*. Among them one finds the Zhedanov algebra* [Zhed] *and the Klimyk-Gavrilik algebra* [Klim, GI].

We look for a solution of the intertwiner equation (2.13,2.18) of the form:

ansatz $$K(u) = \sum_{i,j} E_{ij} \sum_{i_1,i_2,i_3} k_{ij}^{i_1 i_2 i_3}(a,b,c,d,\bar{c},\bar{d},q,Q) W_0^{i_1}W_1^{i_2}W_2^{i_3} \in End(\mathbb{C}^3)\otimes\mathcal{W} \tag{3.7}$$

with positive integers $i_1+i_2+i_3<p$, $k_{ij}^{i_1 i_2 i_3}(a,b,c,d,\bar{c},\bar{d},q,Q)$ some unknown functions and $\mathcal{W}$ the vector space spanned by the monomials (3.5). We choose $p=4$ similarly to the order of the Casimir (3.6). The irreducibility of the monomials[7] (3.5) ensures that the solution $K(u)$ is unique in each case and satisfies the corresponding reflection equation.

3.1. **The $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$ case:** The affine Lie algebra $a_2^{(2)}$ is the simplest example of the twisted Kac-Moody algebras. We consider this example for its simplicity and its connection with the quantum integrable models as Bullough-Dodd, Mikhailov-Zhiber-Shabat or Izergin-Korepin models [Smir, IK]. In this case there exists the crossing symmetry relation for the R-matrix of $\mathcal{U}_q(a_2^{(2)})$ of the form (5.3). Therefore, we can consider the intertwiner equation (2.13) to construct solutions of the reflection equation (2.9). The solution for twisted reflection equation follows from the map (2.20).

The generalized q-Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$ is defined by :

[6] We defined $[A,B]_q = AB - q^{-1}BA$.

[7] Proof of the irreducibility of the monomials can be found in [T].

Definition 3.2. [BB1] *The generalized q-Onsager algebra* $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$ *is an associative algebra with unit 1, generated by the elements* $\{A_i\}$, $i \in 0, 1$ *and scalars* $\{\rho, \bar{\rho}, \tilde{\rho}\} \in \mathbb{C}$ *subject to the relations:*

$$[A_0, [A_0, A_1]_{q^4}]_{q^{-4}} - \rho A_1 = 0, \tag{3.8}$$

$$[A_1, [A_1, [A_1, [A_1, [A_1, A_0]_{q^4}]_{q^{-4}}]_{q^2}]_{q^{-2}} - \bar{\rho}\,(A_1\,A_1\,A_0 - w\,A_1\,A_0\,A_1 + A_0\,A_1\,A_1) - \tilde{\rho}\,A_0] = 0, \tag{3.9}$$

$$w = \frac{(q - 1 + q^{-1})(q^4 + 2\,q^2 + 4 + 2\,q^{-2} + q^{-4})}{q^4 + 3 + q^{-4}}. \tag{3.10}$$

It is a coideal subalgebra with counit $\epsilon : \mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)}) \to \mathbb{C}$ *given by :*

contw1
$$\epsilon(A_0) = w_0, \quad \epsilon(A_1) = w_1 \quad \textit{with} \quad \Big(w_0^2 + \frac{\rho}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2}\Big) w_1 = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

The coaction $\delta : \mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)}) \to \mathcal{U}_q(a_2^{(2)}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$ *is given by :*

coactionmap
$$\delta(A_i) = (c_i\, e_i q_i^{\frac{h_i}{2}} + \overline{c}_i\, f_i q_i^{\frac{h_i}{2}}) \otimes \mathbb{I} + q_i^{h_i} \otimes A_i, \tag{3.12}$$

with

$$\rho = c_0 \overline{c}_0, \quad \bar{\rho} = (q + q^{-1})^2 (q^4 + 3 + q^{-4}) c_1 \overline{c}_1 \quad \textit{and} \quad \tilde{\rho} = -\left(\frac{(q^2 + q^{-2})\bar{\rho}}{(q^4 + 3 + q^{-4})}\right)^2, \tag{3.13}$$

and we have the evaluation homomorphism Π:

Proposition 3.1. *There is an algebra homomorphism* $\Pi : \mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)}) \to \mathcal{AW}(1, 0, -q^{-4}\bar{\mu}, C, -q^{-4}\mu, 0, q^4)$ *such that*

realaw1
$$\Pi(A_i) = W_i \quad \textit{for} \quad i \in \{0, 1\} \quad \textit{and} \quad \Pi(\rho) = \mu, \quad \Pi(\bar{\rho}) = \frac{(q^4 + 3 + q^{-4})\bar{\mu}}{(q^2 + q^{-2})^2}. \tag{3.14}$$

For convenience let us use the following new parameters for $\mathcal{AW}$ and the matrix representation of $\mathcal{U}_q(a_2^{(2)})$:

$$\alpha = \sqrt{\mu}, \quad \beta = \frac{q^4}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}(q + q^{-1})}}, \quad s_0 = q^2\sqrt{\frac{\bar{c}_0}{c_0}}, \quad s_1 = \sqrt{\frac{q(q + q^{-1})\bar{c}_1}{c_1}} \quad \text{and} \quad c_i, \bar{c}_i \in \mathbb{R}^+ \tag{3.15}$$

From straighforward calculations of the intertwiner equation (2.13) we obtain the dynamical K-matrix:

KA22dyn
$$K(u) = K^{(1)}(u) + K^{(2)}(u) \tag{3.16}$$

with

$$K^{(1)}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} h_1(u, C, Q) & 0 & h(u, C) \\ 0 & h_2(u, C, Q) & 0 \\ h(u, C) & 0 & h_3(u, C, Q) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$K^{(2)}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\beta g\, u\, W_1^2 + \frac{q}{\beta(q+q^{-1})} W_0 & q^{-\frac{7}{2}}[W_1, W_0]_{q^{-4}} + q^{\frac{7}{2}}\alpha\, u\, W_1 & \beta\,[[W_0, W_1]_{q^4}, W_1]_{q^4} \\ q^{-\frac{7}{2}}[W_0, W_1]_{q^{-4}} + q^{\frac{7}{2}}\alpha\, u\, W_1 & -\frac{q^4}{\beta} W_0 & q^{\frac{7}{2}}[W_0, W_1]_{q^4} + q^{-\frac{7}{2}}\alpha\, u^{-1}\, W_1 \\ \beta\,[W_1, [W_1, W_0]_{q^4}]_{q^4} & q^{\frac{7}{2}}[W_1, W_0]_{q^4} + q^{-\frac{7}{2}}\alpha\, u^{-1}\, W_1 & -\alpha\beta\frac{g}{q^8\, u} W_1^2 + \frac{q^7}{\beta(q+q^{-1})} W_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
h(u,C) &= \frac{\alpha q^4(q^3u-q^{-3}u^{-1})}{\beta(q-q^{-1})(q^4-q^{-4})} - \beta C \\
h_1(u,C,Q) &= \frac{\beta Q(q^4-q^{-4})}{\alpha(u-u^{-1})} + \frac{u\beta C(q-q^{-1})(q^2+q^{-2})}{(u-u^{-1})} + \frac{q^4u\alpha(q^3u-q^{-3}u^{-1})}{\beta(u-u^{-1})(q+q^{-1})^2(q^2+q^{-2})} \\
h_2(u,C,Q) &= \frac{\beta Q(q^4-q^{-4})}{\alpha(u-u^{-1})} + \frac{\beta C(u\,q-u^{-1}\,q^{-1})(q^2+q^{-2})}{(u-u^{-1})} + \frac{q^4\alpha(qu-q^{-1}u^{-1})(q^3u-q^{-3}u^{-1})}{\beta(u-u^{-1})(q+q^{-1})(q^4-q^{-4})} \\
h_3(u,C,Q) &= \frac{\beta Q(q^4-q^{-4})}{\alpha(u-u^{-1})} + \frac{\beta C(q-q^{-1})(q^2+q^{-2})}{u(u-u^{-1})} + \frac{q^4\alpha(q^3u-q^{-3}u^{-1})}{u\beta(u-u^{-1})(q+q^{-1})^2(q^2+q^{-2})} \\
g &= (q^4-q^{-4}).
\end{aligned}$$

The direct consequences of the intertwiner equation (2.13) are that the inverse of $K(u)$ is proportional to $K(u^{-1})$, the K-matrix is unique (up to a scalar function) and is a solution of the reflection equation:

REA22 (3.17) $$R'_{12}\Big(\frac{u}{v}\Big)K_1(u)R'_{21}(uv)K_2(v) = K_2(v)R'_{12}(uv)K_1(u)R'_{21}\Big(\frac{u}{v}\Big)$$

with

(3.18) $$R'_{12}(u) = R_{21}(u,q).$$

The definition and main properties of the R-matrix are given in the appendix A.

3.2. **The $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(1)})$ case.** The affine Lie algebra $a_2^{(1)}$ is the simplest example of Kac-Moody algebra of rank three and as in the previous case is connected with quantum affine Toda fields theories [Gan]. The R-matrix of its deformation $\mathcal{U}_q(a_2^{(1)})$ does not have the crossing symmetry (2.19) and it means that the two families of the reflection equations are different. Moreover, the evaluation of $\mathcal{K}^*(u)$[8] in a finite dimensional algebra is known for the case $a_n^{(1)}$ [MRS] and supports the fact that $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(1)})$ is a q-Serre-Chevalley formulation of TRA.

The generalised q-Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(1)})$ is given by the definition:

Definition 3.3. [BB1] *The generalized q-Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(1)})$ is an associative algebra with unit 1, generated by the elements $\{A_i\}$ and scalars $\rho_i \in \mathbb{C}$ with $i = 0,1,2$ subject to the relations:*

Oqa12 (3.19) $$[A_i,[A_i,A_{i\pm1}]_q]_{q^{-1}} = \rho_i A_{i\pm1}, \quad \text{for} \quad i=0,1,2 \quad (3\equiv 0,\ -1\equiv 2)$$

It is a coideal subalgebra with counit, $\epsilon : \mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(1)}) \to \mathbb{C}$ given by:

contw2 (3.20) $$\epsilon(A_i) = w_i, \quad \text{with} \quad \Big(w_i^2 + \frac{\rho_i}{q+q^{-1}-2}\Big)w_j = 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad i,j \in \{0,1,2\}$$

and the coaction $\delta : \mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(1)}) \to \mathcal{U}_q(a_2^{(1)}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(1)})$ is given by:

coactionmap (3.21) $$\delta(A_i) = (c_i\, e_i q^{\frac{h_i}{2}} + \overline{c}_i\, f_i q^{\frac{h_i}{2}}) \otimes I\!I + q^{h_i} \otimes A_i$$

with

(3.22) $$\{c_i, \overline{c}_i\} \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_i = c_i\overline{c}_i$$

and we have the evaluation homomorphism Π:

[8]The symbol $*$ here indicates that the K-matrix is a solution of the twisted reflection equation (2.14).

Proposition 3.2. *There is an algebra homomorphism* $\Pi : \mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(1)}) \to \mathcal{AW}(\mu_0, 0, -\frac{\mu_2}{q\mu_0}, 0, -\frac{\mu_1}{q\mu_0}, 0, q)$ *such that*

realaw2 (3.23) $$\Pi(A_j) = W_j \quad for \quad j \in \{0,1,2\}, \quad \Pi(\rho_1) = \mu_1, \quad \Pi(\rho_2) = \mu_2 \quad and \quad \Pi(\rho_0) = q^{-1}\frac{\mu_1\mu_2}{(i\mu_0)^2},$$

In this case, we consider the intertwiner equations (2.18) for the K-matrices $K^*(u)$ transforming the representation π into $\bar{\pi}$ and also the interwiner :

intTRAI (3.24) $$\bar{K}^*(u)(\bar{\pi}_u \otimes \Pi)\delta(y) = (\pi_{u^{-1}} \otimes \Pi)\delta(y)\bar{K}^*(u), \quad y \in B^*(\widehat{g})$$

transforming the representation $\bar{\pi}$ into π. The two interwiners are related by the fact that $\bar{K}^*(u^{-1})$ is proportional to the inverse of $K^*(u)$. For convenience let us use the following new parameters for $\mathcal{AW}$ and the matrix representation of $\mathcal{U}_q(a_2^{(1)})$:

(3.25) $$s_i = \sqrt{q\bar{c}_i/c_i}, \quad \alpha_0 = \sqrt{\mu_0}, \quad \alpha_1 = i\sqrt{\frac{\mu_1}{q\mu_0}}, \quad \alpha_2 = i\sqrt{\frac{\mu_2}{q\mu_0}}, \quad \alpha = \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 \quad \text{and} \quad c_i, \bar{c}_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

The solution of the first intertwiner equation, (2.18), is given by

KA12dyn (3.26) $$K^*(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\kappa(u) & -q^{-\frac{1}{2}}u^{-1}\alpha_1 W_1 & iu^{-1}\alpha_0 W_0 \\ iu\,\alpha_1 W_1 & \alpha\kappa(u) & -q^{-\frac{1}{2}}u^{-1}\alpha_2 W_2 \\ -q^{-\frac{1}{2}}u\,\alpha_0 W_0 & iu\,\alpha_2 W_2 & \alpha\kappa(u) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\kappa(u) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}u - iu^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}}$. We remark that there is another solution given by the transformation $i \to -i$ leaving the homomorphism of **Proposition 3.2** invariant.

The solution for the second intertwiner equation (3.24), $\bar{K}^*(u)$, is given by:

KA12dyn2 (3.27) $$\bar{K}^*(u) = \bar{K}^*_{(1)}(u) + \frac{i}{q-q^{-1}}\bar{K}^*_{(2)}(u),$$

with

$$\bar{K}^*_{(1)}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\,\bar{\kappa}(u)^2 - \frac{iq^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\alpha}(\alpha_2 W_2)^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha\,\bar{\kappa}(u)^2 - \frac{iq^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\alpha}(\alpha_0 W_0)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha\,\bar{\kappa}(u)^2 - \frac{iq^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\alpha}(\alpha_1 W_1)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\bar{K}^*_{(2)}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{\frac{5}{2}}u^2\alpha_1 W_1 - \frac{i}{\alpha_1}[W_0, W_2]_q & q^{-1/2}\alpha_0 W_0 - \frac{iqu^2}{\alpha_0}[W_1, W_2]_{q^{-1}} \\ q^{-\frac{1}{2}}u^{-2}\alpha_1 W_1 - \frac{iq}{\alpha_1}[W_2, W_0]_{q^{-1}} & 0 & q^{\frac{5}{2}}u^2\alpha_2 W_2 - \frac{iq}{\alpha_2}[W_1, W_0]_q \\ q^{\frac{5}{2}}\alpha_0 W_0 - \frac{iu^{-2}}{\alpha_0}[W_2, W_1]_q & q^{-\frac{1}{2}}u^{-2}\alpha_2 W_2 - \frac{iq}{\alpha_2}[W_0, W_1]_{q^{-1}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\bar{\kappa}(u) = \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}u + iu^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}}$. Similarly, there is another solution which is also given by the transformation $i \to -i$.

Finally, these solutions, $K^*(u)$ and $\bar{K}^*(u)$, are unique (up to a scalar function) and satisfy the twisted reflection equations (2.14) [9]:

(3.28) $$R_{12}(u/v)\mathcal{K}^*_1(u)R^{t_1}_{12}(\frac{1}{uv})\mathcal{K}^*_2(v) = \mathcal{K}^*_2(v)R^{t_1}_{12}(\frac{1}{uv})\mathcal{K}^*_1(u)R_{12}(u/v)$$

(3.29) $$R_{21}(u/v)\bar{\mathcal{K}}^*_1(u)R^{t_2}_{12}(\frac{1}{uv})\bar{\mathcal{K}}^*_2(v) = \bar{\mathcal{K}}^*_2(v)R^{t_2}_{12}(\frac{1}{uv})\bar{\mathcal{K}}^*_1(u)R_{21}(u/v)$$

with $\mathcal{K}^*(u) = VK^*\big(u\sqrt{i}q^{-\frac{3}{4}}\big)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{K}}^*(u) = \bar{K}^*\big(u\sqrt{i}q^{-\frac{3}{4}}\big)V$. The R-matrix and the matrix V are defined in the appendix A.

[9] The second twisted reflection equation corresponds to the inverse of the first one (up to a scalar function) and $K^*(u)\bar{K}^*(1/u) = \bar{K}^*(1/u)K^*(u) \propto 1$.

Remark 2. *Using the invariance of the twisted reflection equation by the map $\mathcal{K}^*(u) \to M\mathcal{K}^*(u)M$, with M an arbitrary diagonal matrix, and rescaling the generators $\{W_i\}$ we found that our solution (3.26) coincides with the result obtained in* [MRS] *for the orthogonal case.*

4. Scalar limit of the dynamical K-matrices

Starting from our dynamical solutions (3.16,3.26,3.27), it is straighforward to derive the already known scalar solutions of the reflection equation (2.9) and twisted reflection equations (2.14). These solutions have been derived directly from the reflection equation [FHLSY, K, BFKZ] or from matrix intertwiner operator [N]. We obtain the scalar solutions (c-number K-matrices) from the dynamical solutions (non-c-number K-matrices) taking the trivial, or one dimensional representation of $\mathcal{AW}$.

Remark 3. *The scalar solutions obtained from the dynamical ones are the same than the ones obtained from the intertwiner equations (2.13,2.18) replacing* Π *by* ϵ.

For the case $a_2^{(2)}$, the scalar representation of W_0 and W_1 must be solutions of the equation (3.11). It follows two types of scalar K-matrices:

- The case $w_1 = 0$, w_0 arbitrary and
$$w_2 = 0, \quad C = \frac{q^4 w_0}{\beta^2(q+q^{-1})}, \quad Q = -\frac{q^4 w_0^2}{\beta^2(q+q^{-1})}$$
leads to the Type II scalar solution in [K, N, L-S]
$$K^{scal}_{w_0,0}(u) \quad \propto \quad \mathbb{I} + \frac{\frac{\alpha}{w_0}}{(q+q^{-1})(q^2+q^{-2})} \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 & \frac{u-u^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}} \\ 0 & \frac{q\,u-q^{-1}\,u^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}} & 0 \\ \frac{u-u^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}} & 0 & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
The additional boundary parameters in [K, N] are related to the invariance of the reflection equation by conjugation by the diagonal matrix of the form $M = Diag(a_1, (a_1a_2)^{1/2}, a_2)$. The trivial solution proportional to unit can be recovered from this solution taking $\alpha = 0$.

- The cases $w_0 = \pm i\frac{\alpha}{q^2-q^{-2}}$, w_1 arbitrary,
$$w_2 = \pm i\alpha w_1 q^{-2}, \quad C = \pm i\alpha \left(w_1^2 q^{-4}(q^2-q^{-2}) + q^4 \frac{1}{\beta^2(q+q^{-1})(q^2-q^{-2})} \right),$$
$$Q = \alpha^2 \left((q^4+q^{-4}) w_1^2 + \frac{q^4}{\beta^2(q+q^{-1})(q^2-q^{-2})^2} \right)$$
lead to the scalar solutions with all non-zero entries or Type I in [N]
$$K^{scal}_{\pm i\frac{\alpha}{q^2-q^{-2}}, w_1}(u) \propto K^{scal}_{(1)}(u) + \frac{q^7}{(\beta w_1)^2 [4]_q^2 (q-q^{-1})(q^3\,u \pm i)} K^{scal}_{(2)}(u)$$
$$K^{scal}_{(1)}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{q^3 \pm i\,u}{q^3\,u \pm i} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$K^{scal}_{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q^2(q\,u\mp i)((q-q^{-1})u \pm (q^2+q^{-2}))}{(q^2-q^{-2})} & \beta w_1 u q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(u-u^{-1})[4]_q & \frac{q^2(u-u^{-1})(q\,u\mp i)}{(q^2-q^{-2})} \\ \beta w_1 u q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(u-u^{-1})[4]_q & \frac{(q\,u\mp i)(u \pm i\,q)(q^3\,u \pm i)}{u(q^2-q^{-2})} & \pm i\,\beta w_1\, q^{-\frac{5}{2}}(u-u^{-1})[4]_q \\ \frac{q^2(u-u^{-1})(q\,u\mp i)}{(q^2-q^{-2})} & \pm i\,\beta w_1\, q^{-\frac{5}{2}}(u-u^{-1})[4]_q & \frac{q^2(1 \pm i\,q\,u)((q^2+q^{-2})u \mp (q-q^{-1})i)}{u(q^2-q^{-2})} \end{pmatrix}$$
The two others non-trivial diagonal solutions can be recovered from these solutions taking $\beta \to \infty$.

Let us remark that the number of free parameters in the scalar solution is in one-to-one correspondence with the trivial representations of $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$, all the other parameters appearing in the previous solution correspond to matrix conjugation and multiplication by a scalar that leaves the reflection equation invariant.

For the case $a_2^{(1)}$, the scalars w_i are more restricted by $\mathcal{AW}(\mu_0, 0, -\frac{\mu_2}{q\mu_0}, 0, -\frac{\mu_1}{q\mu_0}, 0, q)$ than by $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(1)})$. But the invariance of the homomorphism of **Proposition 3.2** by the transformation $i \to -i$ leads to the same number of scalar solutions as for $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(1)})$ trivial representations:

- Let $e_i = \pm 1$ for $i = 1, 2$ or $e_1 = e_2 = 0$, then scalar representation of $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2)$ are given by:

$$w_0 = e_1 e_2 q \frac{\sqrt{\mu_1 \mu_2}}{q-1}, \quad w_0 = e_1 q \frac{\sqrt{\mu_0 \mu_2}}{q-1} \quad \text{and} \quad w_2 = e_2 q \frac{\sqrt{\mu_0 \mu_1}}{q-1}. \tag{4.1}$$

Considering the both solutions, i.e $i \to e_0 i$ with $e_0 = \pm 1$, we recover the scalar solutions [Gan, DM]:

$$K^{scal}_{e_0,e_1,e_2}(u) \propto \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}u - ie_0u^{-1}}{q^{1/2}+q^{-1/2}} & -u^{-1}e_1 & iq^{\frac{1}{2}}u^{-1}e_0e_1e_2 \\ iq^{\frac{1}{2}}ue_0e_1 & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}u - ie_0u^{-1}}{q^{1/2}+q^{-1/2}} & -u^{-1}e_2 \\ -ue_1e_2 & iq^{\frac{1}{2}}ue_0e_2 & \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}u - ie_0u^{-1}}{q^{1/2}+q^{-1/2}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.2}$$

5. Comments

The dynamical K-matrices solutions of the RA and the TRA have been constructed for the case $a_2^{(2)}$ and $a_2^{(1)}$ respectively using the generalized q-Onsager algebras and the intertwiner equations. These K-matrices have entries in the finite subalgebra of the related generalized q-Onsager algebra (some special cases of Zhedanov algebra [Zhed]) and are solutions of the reflection equations. Taking the trivial representation of these subalgebras we recover the known scalar solutions.

This procedure can clearly be applied to other generalized q-Onsager algebras related to $\widehat{g}$ and corresponding dynamical K-matrices can be obtained. The main problem is to identify a realisation of the generalised q-Onsager $\mathcal{O}_q(\widehat{g})$ in terms of a finite algebra and construct an irreducible basis for the vector space spanned by monomials of generators of the algebra. As we already mentioned, the case of $\mathcal{O}_q(a_{n-1}^{(1)})$ is already known starting directly from TRA [MRS] and the corresponding finite algebra is the Klimyk-Gavrilik algebra [Klim, GI]. It corresponds to the orthogonal case of the TRA (noted $Y_q^{tw}(o_n)$ in [MRS]). This fact suggests that $\mathcal{O}_q(a_{n-1}^{(1)})$ corresponds to a q-Serre-Chevalley formulation of $Y_q^{tw}(so_n)$ (quotient of $Y_q^{tw}(o_n)$ by its center). For the case $Y_q^{tw}(sp_n)$, also considered in [MRS], the q-Serre-Chevalley formulation still remains, to our knowledge, as an open problem and will be considered elsewhere.

In the case of a finite Lie algebra g, the associated reflection algebras have been studied in the context of the quantization of the symmetric spaces and related q-orthogonal polynomial [NS, Letz]. Two remarkable facts for us appear in these works: there is a one-to-one correspondence between scalar solutions of the reflection equations and the quantum symmetric spaces [NS]; the classification of the coideal subalgebras of $\mathcal{U}_q(g)$ is obtained by the classification of the irreducibles pair (g, θ), with θ an involution of g, and the q-Serre-Chevalley formulation follows from the involution and the coideal properties [Letz]. The extension of this classification for the affine case is still an open problem.

As for physical applications in quantum affine Toda fields theories with boundary degree of freedom, the dynamical solutions obtained in this paper give the algebraic part of the boundary scattering amplitudes for fundamental particles in the $a_2^{(2)}$ and $a_2^{(1)}$ theories with imaginary coupling. Next step is to consider the fusion procedure and obtain the boundary scattering amplitudes for fused particles. As an application, they lead to scattering amplitudes of the fundamental particles of the real coupling theory. In the case of the $a_1^{(1)}$ boundary affine Toda field theory with real coupling (called sinh-Gordon model) and dynamical

boundary conditions [BK], a remarkable weak-strong coupling duality property of boundary amplitudes is observed. By analogy, weak-strong coupling duality properties could be investigated for $a_2^{(2)}$ and $a_2^{(1)}$ cases using the results presented here. Moreover, considering infinite dimensional representation of $\mathcal{AW}$ algebra, it can be interesting to compare our dynamical solutions with the ones obtained from scalar solutions dressed by equations (2.12,2.17) with bulk amplitudes of impurities [CorZam]. These questions will be considered elsewhere.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank P.Baseilhac for the discussions and valuable comments, the LMPT of Tours for hospitality where part of this work has been done. V.F. thanks INFN of Bologna for hospitality where part of this work has been done. S.B. was supported by INFN Iniziativa Specifica FI11.

Appendix A : $U_q(a_2^{(2)})$ and $U_q(a_2^{(1)})$ quantum algebras

In this appendix we give the definitions of the $U_q(a_2^{(2)})$ and $U_q(a_2^{(1)})$ algebras, their fundamental representations and the associated R-matrices used in this paper. The generalised Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=0,n}$ and associated coprime positive integers $d_0, .., d_n$ are given, respectively, for $a_2^{(2)}$ and $a_2^{(1)}$ by:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (d_i) = \{4, 1\}, \quad \text{and} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (d_i) = \{1, 1, 1\}.$$

Definition A.1. *The quantum algebra $U_q(\widehat{g})$ is an associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with unit 1 generated by the elements $\{e_i, f_i, q_i^{\pm\frac{h_i}{2}}\}$, $i \in 0, .., n$ subject to the relations:*

$$q_i^{\pm\frac{h_i}{2}} q_i^{\mp\frac{h_i}{2}} = 1, \quad q_i^{\frac{h_i}{2}} q_j^{\frac{h_j}{2}} = q_j^{\frac{h_j}{2}} q_i^{\frac{h_i}{2}}, \quad q_i^{\frac{h_i}{2}} e_j q_i^{-\frac{h_j}{2}} = q_i^{\frac{a_{ij}}{2}} e_j, \quad q_i^{\frac{h_i}{2}} f_j q_i^{-\frac{h_j}{2}} = q_i^{-\frac{a_{ij}}{2}} f_j,$$

$$[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} \frac{q_i^{h_i} - q_i^{-h_i}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} ,$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r \left[\begin{array}{c} 1-a_{ij} \\ r \end{array} \right]_{q_i} e_i^{1-a_{ij}-r} e_j e_i^r = 0 , \quad \sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r \left[\begin{array}{c} 1-a_{ij} \\ r \end{array} \right]_{q_i} f_i^{1-a_{ij}-r} f_j f_i^r = 0 .$$

with $q_i = q^{d_i}$. The Hopf algebra structure is ensured by the existence of a comultiplication $\Delta : U_q(\widehat{g}) \mapsto U_q(\widehat{g}) \otimes U_q(\widehat{g})$, antipode $\mathcal{S} : U_q(\widehat{g} \mapsto U_q(\widehat{g})$ and a counit $\mathcal{E} : U_q(\widehat{g}) \mapsto \mathbb{C}$:

$$\Delta(e_i) = e_i \otimes q_i^{-h_i/2} + q_i^{h_i/2} \otimes e_i , \quad \Delta(f_i) = f_i \otimes q_i^{-h_i/2} + q_i^{h_i/2} \otimes f_i, \quad \Delta(h_i) = h_i \otimes I\!I + I\!I \otimes h_i ,$$

$$\mathcal{S}(e_i) = -q_i^{-1} e_i , \quad \mathcal{S}(f_i) = -q_i f_i , \quad \mathcal{S}(h_i) = -h_i , \qquad \mathcal{S}(I\!I) = 1,$$

$$\mathcal{E}(e_i) = \mathcal{E}(f_i) = \mathcal{E}(h_i) = 0 , \qquad \mathcal{E}(I\!I) = 1 .$$

For both cases, we use three-dimensional representations, constructed with the unit matrices E_{ij} with 1 at the intersection of the line i and the column j and zero elsewhere.

• For $U_q(a_2^{(2)})$ case the fundamental representation $\pi_u : U_q(a_2^{(2)}) \mapsto \mathbb{C}^3[[u,u^{-1}]]$ is given by [FRS]:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_u(e_1) &= s_1(E_{12}+E_{23}), & \pi_u(e_0) &= us_0E_{31}, \\ \pi_u(f_1) &= (q+q^{-1})s_1^{-1}(E_{21}+E_{32}), & \pi_u(f_0) &= u^{-1}s_0^{-1}E_{13}, \\ \pi_u\big(q_1^{\frac{h_1}{2}}\big) &= qE_{11}+E_{22}+q^{-1}E_{33}, & \pi_u\big(q_0^{\frac{h_0}{2}}\big) &= q^{-2}E_{11}+E_{22}+q^2E_{33}. \end{aligned}$$

The parameters s_i correspond to an automorphism[10] of $U_q(a_2^{(2)})$ irrelevant for the R-matrix but relevant for the K-matrix. Following the results of Jimbo [J] we can derive, up to a scalar function, the R-matrix associated to this representation from the intertwining property: The solution is given by:

RA22 (5.1) $$R_{12}(u) = (u-1)q^3R_{21}^{(q)} + (1-u^{-1})q^{-3}R_{12}^{(q^{-1})} + q^{-5}(q^4-1)(q^6+1)P$$

where $R_{12}^{(q)}$ is the spin one or three-dimensional R-matrix associated to the $U_q(a_1)$ algebra given by :

$$R_{12}^{(q)} = \begin{pmatrix} q^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & q^2-q^{-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^{-2} & 0 & q(q^2-q^{-2}) & 0 & q(q^2-q^{-2})(q-q^{-1}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & q(q^2-q^{-2}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & q^2-q^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

It follows that this R-matrix is a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation (2.4). It also satisfy the unitarity condition

(5.2) $$R_{12}(u)R_{21}(u^{-1}) = q^2(1-q^4u)(1+q^{-6}u)(1-q^4u^{-1})(1+q^{-6}u^{-1})\,\mathbb{I}$$

and the crossing symmetry:

csA22 (5.3) $$R_{12}(u) = M_1R_{21}^{t_2}(-q^{-6}u^{-1})M_1 \quad \text{with} \quad M = -qE_{31}+E_{22}-q^{-1}E_{13}.$$

• For $U_q(a_2^{(1)})$ case there are two fundamental representations $\pi_u, \bar{\pi}_u : U_q(a_2^{(1)}) \mapsto \mathbb{C}^3[[u,u^{-1}]]$ given by:

(5.4) $$\pi_u(e_0) = u^2s_0E_{31}, \quad \pi_u(f_0) = u^{-2}s_0^{-1}E_{13}, \quad \pi_u(q^{h_0}) = q^{-1}E_{11}+E_{22}+qE_{33},$$

(5.5) $$\pi_u(e_1) = s_1E_{12}, \quad \pi_u(f_1) = s_1^{-1}E_{21}, \quad \pi_u(q^{h_1}) = qE_{11}+q^{-1}E_{22}+E_{33},$$

(5.6) $$\pi_u(e_2) = s_2E_{23}, \quad \pi_u(f_2) = s_2^{-1}E_{32}, \quad \pi_u(q^{h_2}) = E_{11}+qE_{22}+q^{-1}E_{33}.$$

and

(5.7) $$\bar{\pi}_u(g) = (\pi_u(g))^t|_{q\to 1/q} \quad \text{for any} \quad g \in U_q(a_2^{(1)})$$

where t means the transposition. The R-matrix defined from intertwiner equation with two π representations is given by

RA12 (5.8) $$R_{12}(u) = \sum_i E_{ii}\otimes E_{ii} + \frac{u-u^{-1}}{qu-q^{-1}u^{-1}}\sum_{i\neq j}E_{ii}\otimes E_{jj} + \frac{q-q^{-1}}{qu-q^{-1}u^{-1}}\sum_{i\neq j}u^{sign(i-j)}E_{ij}\otimes E_{ji}.$$

This R-matrix is a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation (2.4) and also satisfy the unitarity condition

(5.9) $$R_{12}(u)R_{21}(1/u) = \mathbb{I},$$

[10] given by $e_i \to s_ie_i, f_i \to \frac{1}{s_i}f_i$ and $h_i \to h_i$.

The R-matrix defined from intertwiner equation with two $\bar{\pi}$ representations is given by $R_{12}^{t_1 t_2}(u) = R_{21}(u)$ and is also a solution of (2.4). The remaining cases $\pi_u \otimes \bar{\pi}_v$ and $\bar{\pi}_u \otimes \pi_v$ are given, respectively, by:

Vmat (5.10) $V_1^{-1} R_{21}^{t_2}(iq^{-3/2}u^{-1})V_1$ and $V_1 R_{21}^{t_1}(iq^{-3/2}u^{-1})V_1^{-1}$ with $V = -q^{-1}E^{11} + E^{22} - qE^{33}$.

References

[BB1] P. Baseilhac and S. Belliard, *Generalized q-Onsager algebras and boundary affine Toda field theories*, Lett. Math. Phys. **93** (2010), 213, arXiv:hep-th/0906.1215v1.

[BB2] P. Baseilhac and S. Belliard, *A note on the $O_q(s\hat{l}_2)$ algebra*, arXiv:hep-th/1012.5261.

[BD] P. Baseilhac and G.W. Delius, *Coupling integrable field theories to mechanical systems at the boundary*, J. Phys. **A34** (2001) 8259, arXiv:hep-th/0106275v1.

[BK] P.Baseilhac and K.Koizumi, *Sine-Gordon quantum field theory on the half-line with quantum boundary degrees of freedom*, Nucl.Phys. **B649** (2003) 491, arXiv:hep-th/0208005v2.

[BS] P. Baseilhac and K. Shigechi, *A new current algebra and the reflection equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **92** (2010), 47,arXiv:hep-th/0906.1215.

[BassLeC] Z. S. Bassi, A. LeClair, *The Kondo Model with a Bulk Mass Term*, Nucl.Phys. **B552** (1999), 643,arXiv:hep-th/9811138v2

[BFKZ] M.Batchelor, V.Fridkin, A.Kuniba and Y.Zhou, *Solutions of the reflection equation for face and vertex models associated with $A_n^{(1)}$,$B_n^{(1)}$,$C_n^{(1)}$ and $A_n^{(2)}$*, Phys .Lett. **B376** (1996), 266.

[BazHK] V. Bazhanov, A. Hibberd and S.Khoroshkin, *Integrable structure of W_3 conformal field theory, quantum Boussinesq theory and boundary affine Toda theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **622** (2002), 475,arXiv:hep-th/0105177v3.

[BPR] S. Belliard, S. Pakuliak and É. Ragoucy, *Universal Bethe Ansatz and scalar products of Bethe vector*, proceeding for Annecy RAQIS 2010, SIGMA **6** (2010), 094, arXiv:math-ph/1012.1455.

[BL] D. Bernard and A.Leclair, *Quantum group symmetries and non local currents in 2-D QFT*, Commun. Math. Phys. **142** (1991), 99.

[BCorDS] H.W. Braden, E. Corrigan, P.E. Dorey and R. Sasaki, *Affine Toda Field Theory and Exact S -matrices*, Nucl.Phys. **B338** (1990), 689.

[CP] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1994).

[Cher] I.V. Cherednik, *Factorising particules on half Line and root systems*, Theo. Math. Phys. **61** (1984), 977.

[Cor] E. Corrigan, *On duality and reflection factors for the sinh-Gordon model*, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A13** (1998), 2709, arXiv:hep-th/9707235v1

[CorDRS] E. Corrigan, P.E. Dorey, R.H. Rietdijk and R. Sasaki, *Affine Toda field theory on a half line*, Phys. Lett. **B333** (1994), 83, arXiv:hep-th/9404108;
P. Bowcock, E. Corrigan, P.E. Dorey and R.H. Rietdijk, *Classically integrable boundary conditions for affine Toda field theories*, Nucl. Phys. **B445** (1995), 469, arXiv:hep-th/9501098.

[CorZam] E. Corrigan and C. Zambon, *Integrable defects in affine Toda field theory and infinite dimensional representations of quantum groups*, arXiv:hep-th/1012.4186.

[DG] G.W. Delius and A. George, *Quantum affine reflection algebras of type $d_n^{(1)}$ and reflection matrices*, Lett. Math. Phys. **62** (2002), 211, arXiv:math/0208043.

[DM] G.W.Delius and N.MacKay, *Quantum group symmetry in sine-Gordon and affine Toda field theories on the half-line*, Commun. Math. Phys. 233 (2003), 173, arXiv:hep-th/0112023v3.

[D1] V. G. Drinfeld, *Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, Sov. Math. Doklady **32** (1985), 254.

[D2] V. G. Drinfeld, *A new realization of Yangians and quantum affine algebras*, Sov. Math. Doklady **36** (1988), 212.

[FaddReshT] L.D. Faddeev, N. Yu. Reshetikhin and L.A. Takhtajan, *Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras, Yang-Baxter equation and quantum integrable systems*, Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics Vol. **10** Singapore World Scientific (1989), 299.

[FHLSY] Heng Fan, Boyu Hou, Guangliang Li, Kangjie Shi and Ruihong Yue, *The general solutions to the reflection equation of the Izergin-Korepin model*, J. Phys. **A32** (1999), 6021.

[FRS] D. Fioravanti, F. Ravanini and M. Stanishkov, *Generalized KdV and Quantum Inverse Scattering Description of Conformal Minimal Models*, Phys.Lett. B **367** (1996), 113, arXiv:hep-th/9510047

[FraSlav] H. Frahm and N. A. Slavnov, *New solutions to the reflection equation and the projecting method*, J. Phys. **A** 32 (1999), 1547, arXiv:cond-mat/9810312

[FrenJin] I.B. Frenkel and N. Jing, *Vertex representations of quantum affine algebras*, Pros. Natl. Acab. Sci. USA, **85** (1988), 9373.

[Gan] G.M. Gandenberger, *On $a_2^{(1)}$ reflection matrices and affine Toda theories*, Nucl. Phys. **B 542** (1999), 659, arXiv:hep-th/9806003;
G.M. Gandenberger, *New non-diagonal solutions to the $a_n^{(1)}$ boundary Yang-Baxter equation*, arXiv:hep-th/9911178.
[GI] A.M. Gavrilik and N.Z. Iorgov, *q-deformed algebras $U_q(\mathrm{so}_n)$ and their representations*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology **3** (1997), 51.
[G] S. Ghoshal, *Boundary state boundary S-matrix of the sine-Gordon model*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A9** (1994), 4801, hep-th/9310188.
[IT1] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger, *Tridiagonal pairs and the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl2})$*. Ramanujan J. **13** (2007), 39, arXiv:math.QA/0310042.
[IT2] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger, *Tridiagonal pairs of $q-$Racah type*, arXiv:math.QA/0807.0271v1.
[ITT] T. Ito, K. Tanabe and P. Terwilliger, *Some algebra related to P- and Q-polynomial association schemes*, Codes and association schemes (Piscataway, NJ, 1999), 167-192, DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci., 56, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2001), arXiv:math/0406556v1.
[IK] A. G. Izergin and V. E. Korepin, *The inverse scattering method approach to the quantum Shabat-Mikhailov model*, Commun. Math. Phys. **79** (1981), 303.
[J1] M. Jimbo, *A $q-$difference analogue of $U(g)$ and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **10** (1985), 63;
M. Jimbo, *A $q-$analog of $U(gl(N+1))$, Hecke algebra and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **11** (1986), 247.
[J] M. Jimbo, *Quantum R-matrix for the generalized Toda system*, Comm. Math. Phys. **102** (1986), 537.
[JMMNDF] M. Jimbo, K. Miki, T. Miwa and A. Nakayashiki,*Correlation functions of the XXZ model for $\Delta < -1$* , Phys Lett. A 168 (1992), 256, arXiv:hep-th/9205055;
B. Davies, O. Foda, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa and A. Nakayashiki,*Diagonalization of the XXZ Hamiltonian by vertex operators* , Commun. Math. Phys **151** (1993), 89, arXiv:hep-th/9204064;
M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, *qKZ equation with $|q| = 1$ and correlation functions of the XXZ model in the gapless regime*, J. Phys. **A 29** (1996), 2923, arXiv:hep-th/9601135.
[K] J.D.Kim, *Boundary K-matrix for the quantum Mikhailov-Shabat model*, arXiv:hep-th/9412192v1
[Klim] A.U. Klimyk, *The nonstandard q-deformation of enveloping algebra $U(so_n)$: results and problems*, Czech. J. Phys, **51** (2001), 331;
A.U. Klimyk, *Classification of irreducible representations of the $q-$deformed algebra $U_q'(so_n)$*, arXiv:math/0110038v1.
[LesSalCSia] F. Lesage and H. Saleur,*Correlations in one dimensional quantum impurity problems with an external field*, Nucl.Phys. **B490** (1997), 543, arXiv:cond-mat/9611025v3; J.-S. Caux, H. Saleur, F. Siano,*The two-boundary sine-Gordon model*, Nucl.Phys. **B672** (2003), 411, arXiv:cond-mat/0306328v1.
[Letz] G. Letzter, *Coideal Subalgebras and Quantum Symmetric Pairs*, MSRI volume 1999, Hopf Algebra Workshop, arXiv:math/0103228.
[L-S] A.Lima-Santos, *Reflection K-matrices for 19-Vertex Models*, Nucl.Phys. **B558** (2000), 637, arXiv:solv-int/9906003v1.
[MN] L.Mezincescu and R.Nepomechie, *Fractional-spin integrals of motion for the boundary sine-Gordon model at the free fermion point*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A13** (1998), 2747, arXiv:hep-th/9709078.
[MRS] A. I. Molev, E. Ragoucy and P. Sorba, *Coideal subalgebras in quantum affine algebras* , Rev. Math. Phys. **15** (2003), 789, arXiv:math/0208140.
[N] R.I.Nepomechie, *Boundary quantum group generators of type A*, Lett.Math.Phys. **62** (2002), 83, arXiv:hep-th/0204181v1.
[RS] N.Yu. Reshetikhin and M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, *Central extensions of quantum current groups*, Lett. Math. Phys. **19** (1990) 133-142.
[Skly] E.K.Sklyanin,*Boundary conditions for integrable quantum systems*, J.Phys. **A21** (1988), 2375.
[STF] E. Sklyanin, L. Takhtajan and L. Faddeev,*The Quantum Inverse Problem Method*, Theor. Math. Phys. **40** (1980), 688.
[Smir] F. A. Smirnov, *Exact S matrices for Φ_{12}-perturbated minimal models of conformal field theory*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A6** (1991), 1407.
[T] P. Terwilliger, *The Universal Askey-Wilson algebra*, arXiv:math.RA/1104.2813
[NS] M. Noumi and T. Sugitani, *Quantum symmetric spaces and related q-orthogonal polynomials*, In: Group Theoretical Methods in Physics (ICGTMP) (Toyonaka, Japan, 1994), World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, N.J. (1995), 28.
[Zhed] A. S. Zhedanov, *Hidden symmetry of Askey-Wilson polynomials*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **89** (1991), 190.
[ZGLG1] H.-Q. Zhou, X.-Y. Ge, J. Links and M. D. Gould,*Integrable Kondo impurities in one-dimensional extended Hubbard models*, Phys. Rev. **B62** (2000), cond-mat/9908036v1.
[ZGLG2] H.-Q. Zhou, X.-Y. Ge, J. Links and M. D. Gould,*Graded reflection equation algebras and integrable Kondo impurities in the one-dimensional t-J model*, Nucl. Phys. B, Volume 546, Issue 3, 779, arXiv:cond-mat/9809056;
G. Bedurftig and H. Frahm,*Open t-J chain with boundary impurities*, J. Phys. **A32** (1999), 4585, arXiv:cond-mat/9903202v1

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Bologna, Via Irnerio 46, 40126 Bologna, Italy
E-mail address: belliard@bo.infn.it

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique LAPTH Université de Savoie CNRS (UMR 5108) BP 110, F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex, France.
E-mail address: fomin@lapp.in2p3.fr